

EXHIBIT 2

July 23, 2021

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SHABTAI SCOTT ·) Case No. 18-Civ. 12355
individually and as ·
personal representative · CONFIDENTIAL
of the Estate of Keren ·) VIRTUAL VIDEOTAPED
Shatsky, J ANNE · DEPOSITION OF NADIA
SHATSKY, individually · GHANNAM
and as personal ·
representative of the ·
Estate of Keren ·
Shatsky, TZIPPORA ·
SHATSKY SCHWARZ, YOSEPH ·
SHATSKY, SARA SHATSKY ·
TZIMMERMAN, MIRIAM ·)
SHATSKY, DAVID RAPHAEL ·)
SHATSKY, GINETTE LANDO ·)
THALER, individually ·)
and as personal ·
representative of the ·
Estate of Rachel ·)
Thaler, LEOR THALER, ·)
ZVI THALER, ISAAC ·
THALER, HILLEL ·)
TRATTNER, RONIT ·
TRATTNER, ARON S..)
TRATTNER, SHELLEY ·
TRATTNER, EFRAT.)
TRATTNER, HADASSA.)
DINER, Yael HILLMAN, ·)
STEVEN BRAUN, CHANA ·
FRIEDMAN, ILAN ·)
FRIEDMAN, MIRIAM ·)
FRIEDMAN, YEHIEL ·)
FRIEDMAN, ZVI FRIEDMAN, ·
and BELLA FRIEDMAN, ·
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

July 23, 2021

1.)
THE PALESTINE ·
LIBERATION ORGANIZATION ·
and THE PALESTINIAN ·
AUTHORITY (a/k/a "The ·
Palestinian Interim ·
Self-Government ·
Authority" and/or "The ·)
Palestinian National ·)
Authority"), ·)

6.)

7.)

8.)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF NADIA

GHANNAM, witness herein, called by the
Plaintiffs, for examination, taken pursuant to
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, by and
before Karen A. Nickel, a Certified Realtime
Reporter and a notary public in and for the
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, held remotely
with all parties appearing from their
respective locations, on Friday, July 23, 2021,

at 9:30 a.m.

COUNSEL PRESENT:

For the Plaintiffs:

Ronald F. Wick, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hae Vice)
Cohen & Gresser, LLP
2001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 300
Washington, DC 20006

Stephen M. Sinaiko, Esq.
Cohen & Gresser, LLP
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022

For the Defendants:

Mitchell R. Berger, Esq.
Joseph Alonzo, Esq.
Salim Kaddoura, Esq.
Squire Patton Boggs
2550 M Street NW
Washington, DC 20037

Also Cosette Vincent
Vincze

July 23, 2021

I N D E X

WITNESS ·	PAGE
-----------	------

Nadia Ghannam	
---------------	--

Mr. Sinaiko ·	
---------------	--

E X H I B I T S

NUMBER ·	DESCRIPTION ·	PAGE
----------	---------------	------

Exhibit 1.	of Deposition ·	
Exhibit 2.	Profile ·	29
Exhibit 3.	Personnel List ·	
Exhibit 4.		71
Exhibit 5.	Log ·	81
Exhibit 6.		
Exhibit 7.		
Exhibit 8.		
Exhibit 9.		
Exhibit 10.	Tweet ·	
Exhibit 11.	Tweet ·	
Exhibit 12.	Tweet ·	
Exhibit 13.	Tweet ·	
Exhibit 14.	Tweet ·	
Exhibit 15.	Tweet ·	
Exhibit 16.	Tweet ·	
Exhibit 17.	Tweet ·	
Exhibit 18.	Tweet ·	
Exhibit 19.	Tweet ·	
Exhibit 20.	Tweet ·	
Exhibit 21.	Tweet ·	
Exhibit 22.	Tweet ·	
Exhibit 23.	Tweet ·	

THESE PAGES HAVE BEEN
INTENTIONALLY OMITTED

.. No.

.. And what was your title when you moved to the Observer Mission?

My new title and new position was the advisor on media affairs.

· Advisor on media affairs, And is that the title that you currently hold at the Observer Mission?

.. Yes.

10 · .. And have you held that title at all
11 · times since January 4 of 2020?

12 · .. Yes.

13 · .. So in your role as advisor on media
14 · affairs at the Observer Mission -- let me
15 · withdraw that.

16 · is the purpose of the media
17 · affairs function at the Observer Mission?

18 · The purpose is to elevate the work
19 · of Palestine as mandated through our work at
20 · the United Nations.

21 · .. To elevate the work in what respect?

22 · .. Through the use of various media
23 · outlets and platforms.

24 · · And what is the purpose of elevating
25 · the work of the Observer Mission?

To enhance and, basically, project the work of the United Nations in hopes of accomplishing their mandate of seeing a just resolution to the Palestine question.

.. And when you say promoting, you know, when you say promoting, who do you -- promoting to who?

8. .. To the international community and to whoever chooses to sign in, listen to whatever the mandate that the UN has.

10. · So that would include, for example, the American public?

11. · Objection, first
12. · of all, she didn't use the word "promoting" and
13. · you have loaded up the question with that. So
14. · I object to the form of the question as
15. · misstating her prior testimony, but you may
16. · answer.

17. · THE It includes
18. · anybody in the international community that
19. · would like to voluntarily log in to our various
20. · media platforms that are tied to the UN
21. · mandate.

22. · if the American public is -- are
23. · people that want to chime in, they are more

than welcome to.

BY MR. SINAIKO:

3. .. Would it be fair to say that the
4. purpose the purpose of media affairs is to
 get the broadest possible attention to the work
 of the Observer Mission?

7. I think it would be fair to say that
 the purpose of the media is to bring as much
 attention to the plight of the illegal
10. occupation of the Palestinian people as
11. mandated through our work with the United
12. Nations.

13. . So is there, in your view, a
14. material difference between the role you play
15. at the Observer Mission today and the role you
16. previously played when you worked at the
17. Palestinian Diplomatic Mission to the United
18. States?

19. .. Absolutely, yes.

20. .. And what is the difference?

21. .. When I worked at the UN Mission to
22. the U.S., I worked as a public relations and
23. outreach advisor -- well, a director, I should
24. say, and now that I am employed at the UN
25. Mission of the Permanent Observer State of

THESE PAGES HAVE BEEN
INTENTIONALLY OMITTED

.. Correct.

.. Anywhere in the world?

.. Yes.

.. So anyone, any person in the United States with access to the Internet and a Facebook account would be able to see those posts; correct?

8. I have never changed the privacy setting since I started working there.

10. Now, this -- you probably
11. know this better than I do because you are the
12. media affairs person; is it possible to create
13. similar restrictions with respect to a Twitter
14. account?

15. .. That is a good I don't
16. think so.

17. .. So, to your knowledge --

18. .. No.

19. .. To your knowledge, any person with
20. access to Twitter can see anything that the
21. Observer Mission posts on its Twitter account?

22. .. Yes.

23. .. So as far as you know, the
24. information that you post to the Observer
25. Mission's Twitter account goes to the public at

large; correct?

.. Yes.

.. Would it be fair to say that you regard it as important that the Observer Mission's Facebook and Twitter account postings get the broadest possible distribution?

.. Yes.

8. .. And the Twitter and Facebook account postings that you make to the accounts that are maintained by the Observer Mission, are those accounts -- are those postings ever in a language other than English?

10. .. Yes.

11. .. How frequently are there postings that are not in the English language?

12. .. Very, very, very infrequently.

13. .. Very infrequently?

14. .. Correct.

15. .. So would you say that in excess of 90 percent of the postings on the Observer Mission's Facebook and Twitter accounts are in the English language?

16. .. If not more, yes.

17. .. And, again, this will be a question I'm asking you because you probably

know this stuff better than I do being the media affairs person; what is the function of a hashtag on a Twitter post or a Facebook post?

4. .. So that people can follow it in the search engine.

6. . When you say so people can follow it in a search engine, what do you mean exactly?

8. So, for example, in Twitter, there are certain things that are trending, things trend, that's how you know what's popular.

11. for example, recently, one of the hashtags I have been using is SaveSheikhJarrah, it's a hashtag, is the illegal move by settlers or whatever to remove people who have lived in this neighborhood for decades.

17. it's So people -- if you put **in** the hashtag, #SaveSheikhJarrah, you can then begin to see who is tweeting any information under that specific hashtag.

21. .. So would it be fair to say, then, that using the hashtag SaveSheikhJarrah is a means of getting attention to Twitter or Facebook posts that are on the Observer Mission account and that relate to that topic?

.. Yes.

.. And would it be fair to say that the use of hashtags, again, I'll just restrict it for the moment to the SaveSheikhJarrah hashtag, that the use of that hashtag enhances the ability of the Mission to disseminate its message around activities related to Sheikh Jarrah?

If you are a Twitter user and you know how to use Twitter, you would know that hashtags are the only way that your message is going to get disseminated. Otherwise, it just falls to the fray.

10 · So would it be fair to say that the
11 · very purpose of putting hashtags on these
12 · messages or these Twitter postings and Facebook
13 · postings is to ensure that your -- that the
14 · postings reach the broadest possible audience?

15 · .. Correct.

16 · .. And it's your intention, by
17 · using hashtags, to ensure that the postings on
18 · the Observer Mission's Twitter and Facebook
19 · feeds reach the broadest possible audience;
20 · correct?

21 · Not Sometimes I hashtag

might be, for example, Resolution 2334, that is not a hashtag you are ever going to find. However, because I like to educate the public and that's one of the mandates of the UN is to educate, I will hashtag 2334 in hopes that maybe one person might get educated and that's enough for me. So it really varies, the strategy varies every single day. So it's not necessarily a means to get the word out to as many people as possible.

.. That is correct.

U.S. Legal Support | www.uslegalsupport.com

1. Yes, although I don't use hashtags
as frequently on Facebook. I do do it just for
an aesthetic It looks And for
people who follow both platforms, sometimes
they link onto Twitter and Facebook, but
hashtags are more so used for Twitter.

7. So, again, the purpose, then,
restricting it to Twitter for a moment, the use
of the SaveSheikhJarrah hashtag on Twitter, the
10. purpose of using that hashtag is to ensure the
11. broadest possible dissemination of the messages
12. on that topic that the Observer Mission, you
13. know, posts to its Twitter account?

14. .. Yes.

15. .. And in the instance of
16. SaveSheikhJarrah, just to take that as an
17. example, the message that the Observer Mission
18. disseminates through its Twitter and Facebook
19. feeds is that activity relating to Sheikh
20. Jarrah is illegal; correct?

21. .. The UN has circulated many
22. documents, articles, so forth, stating what is
23. happening in Sheikh Jarrah is illegal and it is
24. against UN human rights policies, international
25. law, international human rights laws, various

UN resolutions, and it's illegal in nature.

yes, therefore, it was in the framework of my work to disseminate information within the context of the United Nations.

5. .. And disseminating the view of the Observer Mission that activity relating to Sheikh Jarrah is illegal is an important part of your work at the Observer Mission; correct?

10. Yes, because part of my work is to
11. elevate the messaging of the United Nations.
12. That's part of my work as the media advisor.

13. · Well, let me ask Isn't it to
14. elevate the work of the

15. In the United Nations because it's
16. not just the Permanent Observer Mission of the
17. State of Palestine that ties onto these

18. There are many countries that are
19. in I mean, many.

20. mean, if you log onto Security
21. Council meetings, you can hear Ireland, you can
22. hear Norway, you can hear many of these
23. countries who take the We're not even --
24. we can't even be a permanent representative in
25. the Security Council so many countries speak on

the illegal occupation of the State of

It's not just our mandate.

3. · I didn't mean to I'm so

I think I might have cut you off
inadvertently.

6. .. That's okay.

7. .. Your Twitter posts -- not your

Twitter posts, the Twitter posts that go on the
Observer Mission's Twitter and Facebook feeds,

10. those are the messaging of the Observer Mission

11. and not of the United Nations and not of any

12. other -- any Member State or any other member

13. organization; correct?

14. .. That is correct.

15. .. When you post to well, let

16. me ask On and after January 4, 2020, I

17. think we have established that you are the only

18. person who has posted to the Observer Mission's

19. Twitter and Facebook accounts; correct?

20. .. Correct.

21. .. And on and after January 4, 2020,

22. have you made posts to those two social media

23. accounts, that is, the Facebook account and the

24. Twitter account, from the Observer Mission

25. building at 115 East 65th Street in Manhattan?

.. Since January 4?

3. .. Since January 4 of 2020, that is correct.

.. Yes, I have.

.. And how many times would you say, just ballpark, on and after January 4, 2020, you have posted to the Twitter account or the Facebook account of the Observer Mission from the Observer Mission building at 115 East 65th Street in Manhattan?

11. To take a guess, February, Twitter, maybe 60 Facebook, maybe 15 times.
12. Maybe a dozen times.

14. And the reason that that number is in the range you just mentioned is because of the pandemic; correct?

17. .. That is correct.

18. .. And subsequent to, on or subsequent to January 4, 2020, how many times would you say you have posted or you have made a post to the Observer Mission's Facebook account?

22. .. After January 4 -- excuse me, 2020?

23. .. On and after January 4 of 2020.

24. .. God, I mean, I don't

25. I just don't That's just a very

specific I don't Maybe once a
Do the I don't Once a week
since January 2020, on average.

4. · So you use Facebook oh, sorry.
Didn't mean to interrupt.

6. It's I can't do the math in
my head for I don't know.

8. · Would it be fair to say that you
post to the Observer Mission's Facebook account
10. less than you post to the Observer Mission's
11. Twitter account?

12. .. Yes.

13. .. On and after January 4 of
14. 2020, how many times would you say that you
15. have posted to the Observer Mission's Twitter
16. account from the building at 115 East 65th
17. Street?

18. From the building, like I said,
19. probably around 60 I'm just averaging
20. once a day.

21. · And putting aside -- you
22. know, putting aside from the building, you
23. know, from the building at 115 East 65th
24. Street, how many times would you say, in total,
25. you have posted to the Observer Mission's

Twitter account on or after January 4, 2020?

I couldn't even Wouldn't
even be able to give you a A lot.

.. But it's a large number; right?

.. Yes.

.. And are you aware, I don't know,
I'll ask, are you aware of the location of the
servers where the Facebook and Twitter accounts
maintained by the Observer Mission reside, you
10 know, the Twitter and Facebook --

11 .. Servers?

12 .. Yeah.

13 .. No.

14 .. Have you ever posted -- well,
15 withdrawn.

16 and after January 4, 2020, have
17 you ever posted to the Observer Mission's
18 Facebook account other than from within the
19 territory of the United States?

20 I have only posted in the United
21 States.

22 . And with respect to the
23 Observer Mission Twitter account, on and after
24 January 4, 2020, have you ever posted to the
25 Twitter account other than from the -- you

know, within the territory of the United States?

3. I have only posted in the United States.

5. On and after January 4, 2020, have you left the territory of the United States?

8. No.

9. And on and after -- I think I know the answer to this already because we may have covered it, and I apologize if I'm asking again, I'm just trying to keep all the questions together in the On and after January 4, 2020, has anybody other than you made a post to either the Observer Mission Facebook account or the Observer Mission Twitter account?

18. No.

19. MR. SINAIKO: So I would ask Cosette to put up on the screen for our next exhibit, which I think is going to be No. 6, all right, I would ask Cosette to put up Tab 19.

24. (Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. SINAIKO:

.. Ms. Ghannam, do you see the

We're marking this as Exhibit 6. Is

it It is 6. marking as Exhibit 6

the document that I just put up on the screen.

Can you see it in front of you?

.. Yes.

8. .. And do you recognize that to be a

tweet that you posted to the Observer Mission's

10. Twitter account?

11. Yes, I would have written But

12. I'm just reading it.

13. Oh, Take your If you

14. want to read the -- any document you want to

15. read, feel free, just let us know that you want

16. to read it and we'll turn the You

17. should do whatever you think you need to.

18. .. It's I just want to read the

19. tweet real Okay, yes.

20. .. And let me ask a And

21. again, I apologize if this question betrays a

22. little bit of lack of knowledge on my part.

23. was this a retweet of a tweet

24. that had originally been posted by the PLO's

25. Department of Public Diplomacy and Policy?

1. Yes.

MR. SINAIKO: And Cosette, can
we just turn the page here?

BY MR. SINAIKO:

5. This document that is now on the
screen in front of you, it's another page of
Exhibit 6, is this the tweet that was reposted?

8. .. No.

9. .. Is this the document that was
10. attached to the tweet that was reposted?

11. .. Not that I recall.

12. .. Let's back it up Just
13. back up one page.

14. see what the original -- the
15. original PLO Department of Public Diplomacy and
16. Policy tweet says?

17. .. Yes.

18. .. Do you see it makes reference
19. to an official position?

20. .. Yes.

21. .. And the document that we -- or the
22. page that we showed you a moment ago, is that a
23. copy of the official position that the PLO
24. Department of Public Diplomacy and Policy
25. released along with this tweet?

1. It looks like it was down to me.
There is nothing written in it other than
something in Arabic and it was just one word.

4. . Let's go to the next page. I
think there was probably some text at the
bottom that you were having difficulty seeing.

7. .. Oh, I can't -- there's no way I can
read that.

9. .. Let's zoom in a little There
10. we Is that better?

11. .. Yes, it's I mean, I'm not
12. going to read all of It's going to waste
13. everyone's What is the question?

14. .. So the question is, is that the
15. position statement that was attached to the PLO
16. Department of Public Diplomacy -- I'm sorry,
17. Public Diplomacy and Policy that was attached
18. to the tweet that the Observer Mission
19. retweeted?

20. .. It appears so, if it is attached to
21. it.

22. MR. SINAIKO: Let's go to Tab
23. 20, and we will mark that as Exhibit 7.

24. (Deposition Exhibit No. 7 was
25. marked for identification.)

BY MR. SINAIKO:

And do you recognize this to be another tweet that was posted to the Observer Mission's Twitter feed?

.. I retweeted it, but yes.

.. Well, I mean, I guess it's a

Do you recognize this to be a tweet that you posted to the Observer Mission's Twitter account?

10 · .. Yes.

11 · .. Okay.

12 · .. Yes.

13 · .. And you see that this document has the hashtag LandsDay?

15 · .. Yes.

16 · .. Is the hashtag LandsDay one of those
17 · hashtags that's designed to maximize the
18 · dissemination of the message in the tweet?

19 · That particular context, I am not
20 · sure if I was trying to maximize it or just
21 · commemorate the day.

22 · .. In general, though -- well,
23 · withdrawn.

24 · in this tweet, you are actually
25 · retweeting -- well, Let me try this

question one more time.

is actually a retweet of a tweet that was posted by another organization within the Palestine Liberation Organization; correct?

6. Yes, the Negotiation Affairs Department, yes.

8. And the purpose of this tweet was to disseminate publicly the Observer Mission's view and the view of the PLO that Israel was engaged in violations against the land and people of Palestine; is that correct?

13. MR. Object to the form of the question.

15. BY MR. SINAIKO:

16. .. You may answer.

17. .. The purpose of this tweet is to highlight the violations that the United Nations continuously addresses against the people of Palestine and those that are illegally occupied.

22. violations are deemed by --
23. against many international human rights groups,
24. international human rights law, so these are
25. violations not just by Palestinian Missions to

the United Nations, but violations that are also discussed at the United Nations.

3. But the purpose of the tweet is, just to cut through it, one of the purposes of the tweet, at least, is to publicize these these violations; correct?

Object to the form of the Asked and answered.

BY MR. SINAIKO:

10. You may Do you need the question back?

12. The purpose of the tweet is to, once again, highlight the illegal violations, as noted in the United Nations, that are conducted against a people that are occupied. This is something that is legally embedded in the charter of the United Therefore, once again, I am doing my work as the advisor to the Permanent Observer Mission of the State of Palestine to the United Nations to educate people on violations that are deemed illegal by the United Nations.

23. Understood.

24. MR. SINAIKO: Let's go to our next This is going to be Tab 22.

I think we'll mark it as Exhibit 7; is that right?

THE COURT

Exhibit

4 · 8.

MR. SINAIKO: Exhibit 8,
sorry.

(Deposition Exhibit No. 8 was
marked for identification.)

BY MR. SINAIKO:

10 · Oh, I'm so sorry, I meant to put up
11 · Tab 21. And, actually, before we do that, let
12 · me just go back to -- let me go back to -- let
13 · me go back to Tab 19. That's Exhibit 6. Yeah.
14 · Let's just go back to that for one moment.

15 · this tweet here, you see at the
16 · -- you see underneath the tweet, it's dated
17 · 1:59 p.m. -- do you have Exhibit 6 in front of
18 · you on the I can't hear you, ma'am.

19 · Ghannam, can you hear I'm
20 · having difficulty hearing anything right now.

21 · THE COURT I cannot
22 · hear her either.

23 · MR. SINAIKO: I think we have
24 · lost the audio.

25 · THE VIDEOGRAPHER: you

like to go off the record?

MR. SINAIKO: Well, we need to
get the audio I mean, whatever we
have to If we have to go off the record to
get the audio working, then fine.

6. THE VIDEOGRAPHER:. We
are now off the The time is 17:24 UTC
time.

(Discussion held off the
10. record.)

11. THE VIDEOGRAPHER:. are
12. back on the The time is 17:26 UTC
13. time.

14. BY MR. SINAIKO:

15. Ms. Ghannam, we are back on the
16. Can you see that I have put Exhibit 6,
17. Deposition Exhibit 6 back on the screen in
18. front of you?

19. .. Yes, I can see it.

20. .. And this is the retweet that we were
21. talking about a moment ago; correct?

22. .. Correct.

23. .. And if you look at the bottom
24. of the tweet, you will see that it says, 1:59
25. p.m., February 4, 2020. Do you see that?

.. Yes.

.. Would you agree that this was a tweet that was posted at 1:59 p.m. on the 4th of February 2020?

5. .. Yes.

.. And would you agree that this is a tweet that you posted from the UN -- withdrawn.

.. you agree that this is a post that -- to Twitter that you made from the Observer Mission building at 115 East 65th Street in Manhattan at the date and time noted on the tweet?

14. .. Most likely, yes, unless I wasn't tweeting from my lunch break because it's close to 2:00.

17. . But you would say most likely, just to be clear, you would say most likely --

19. .. Yes.

20. .. -- you tweeted that from inside the Observer Mission building at 115 East 65th Street in Manhattan?

23. .. Most likely, yes.

24. .. Let's go back to tab, I think we said Tab 21, and we're marking that as Exhibit

1. 8.

MR. SINAIKO: Karen, did you
get that?

THE COURT Yes.
Exhibit 8, yes.

MR. SINAIKO: Let's put
up Tab 21. That will be Exhibit 8.
BY MR. SINAIKO:

9. All And Ms. Ghannam, do you
10. see Exhibit 8 in front of you?

11. .. Yes.

12. .. Do we agree that this is another
13. tweet that you posted to the Observer Mission's
14. Twitter account?

15. .. Yes.

16. .. Do we agree that this is a tweet
17. that you posted at 9:00 a.m., on April 12,
18. 2020, as stated at the bottom of the tweet?

19. .. Yes.

20. .. And this is actually a retweet; is
21. that right?

22. .. Yes.

23. .. And you were retweeting on behalf of
24. the Observer Mission, to the Observer Mission's
25. Twitter account, a tweet that had originally

been posted by the PLO Department of Public
Diplomacy and Policy; correct?

3. .. Yes.

4. .. And this is another communication by
the PLO relating to annexation of land in the
West Bank; is that correct?

Object to the
form of the question.

BY MR. SINAIKO:

10. .. You may answer.

11. .. It appears I can't read all of
12. it, but I believe it was a statement put out by
13. Dr. Ashrawi regarding annexation.

14. . And that was something that
15. you felt the Observer Mission should
16. disseminate on Twitter; correct?

17. Annexation is one of
18. the biggest illegal moves that speaks against
19. everything that you can possibly read in the UN
20. charter regarding the illegal occupation of the
21. State of Palestine.

22. . Would it be fair to say that you
23. felt it was important to raise public awareness
24. on this issue by making this Twitter post?

25. Yes.

MR. Let's go to Tab

22, which we are going to mark as Exhibit 9.

(Deposition Exhibit No. 9 was
marked for identification.)

BY MR. SINAIKO:

.. And Ms. Ghannam, do you have Exhibit
9 in front of you?

8. .. I do.

9. .. And do you recognize this to be a
10. retweet that you made to the Observer Mission's
11. Twitter account on April 28, 2020, at 6:30
12. p.m.?

13. .. Yes.

14. .. And do you recognize this
15. also to be a retweet?

16. .. Yes.

17. .. And what is it a retweet of?

18. .. It was posted by the Institute of
19. Middle East Understanding on, once again,
20. illegal settlements on Palestinian land that
21. the United Nations opposes, and there's several
22. resolutions that oppose it and it is deemed
23. illegal.

24. I felt it was extremely
25. important, on behalf of our Mission to the

United Nations, to continue working under the
mandate of the UN by elevating the illegal
issue of settlement expansion.

4. · When you say elevating the illegal
issue of expansion, you mean raising public
awareness on that topic by disseminating --

7. .. Correct.

8. .. To bring attention?

9. .. Correct.

10. MR. SINAIKO: Let's go
11. to Tab 23, and I think we are on Exhibit 10.

12. (Deposition Exhibit No. 10 was
13. marked for identification.)

14. BY MR. SINAIKO:

15. .. Ms. Ghannam, can you see Deposition
16. Exhibit 10 on the screen in front of you?

17. .. Not yet.

18. .. I think we may be having another
19. technical It looks like the video is

20. Can you hear me?

21. .. I can hear you, yes.

22. .. Can you see Exhibit 10 on the screen
23. in front of you?

24. No.

25. MR. Okay We

definitely are having a technical problem
because I, in my Zoom, I can see Exhibit 10 on
the screen in front of me, but I think Ms.
Ghannam is unable to see And we need to
resolve that.

MR. Let me see if I
can show her on my laptop, if that will solve
the problem.

THE Yes, I can see
this.

MR. SINAIKO: Great.

Actually, mine is working Okay.

BY MR. SINAIKO:

Do you recognize this to be a tweet
that you posted to the Observer Mission's
Twitter account?

.. Yes.

.. And when did you make this Twitter
post?

.. It looks like May 11 at 3:03 p.m.

.. Do you know where you were -- I
mean, do you know where you were physically
located at the time you made this Twitter post?

.. May 11th, I was in Washington.

.. And the purpose of this

Twitter post was to raise public awareness of
Israeli annexation threat; is that correct?

3. Yes.

MR. SINAIKO: Let's go to Tab
40. That will be Exhibit 11.

(Deposition Exhibit No. 11 was
marked for identification.)

BY MR. SINAIKO:

9. .. Ms. Ghannam, can you see Deposition
10. Exhibit 11 in front of you?

11. .. Yes.

12. .. And can you tell me what you
13. recognize that document to be, if anything?

14. I believe it speaks to the
15. illegal annexation policy by the occupying
16. Tala (phonetic).

17. And, more generally, you
18. recognize this to be a Twitter post on the
19. Observer Mission's Twitter feed; correct?

20. .. That is correct.

21. .. And it's actually another retweet;
22. is that right?

23. .. That is correct.

24. .. And what is it a retweet of?

25. .. It discusses 18 Senate Democrats, I

tagged them, expressing their concern regarding unilateral annexation of Palestinian territory.

.. And who made the original post?

.. It looks like oh, the original post, excuse me, the PLO Department of Public Diplomacy and Policy.

. And that's an entity that is part of one of the Defendants in this case; correct?

9. I Public Diplomacy and Policy is an extension of the type of work that the PLO does, I I don't know whether or not they are Defendants in this case or not, to be quite frank with I just know from a media standpoint, without --

16. Let me put the question again.

17. you understand that the PLO Department of Public Diplomacy and Policy is part of the Palestine Liberation Organization, one of the Defendants in this case?

21. Objection, calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer again.

24. THE They are part of the PLO, yes.

BY MR. SINAIKO:

.. And you posted this -- well,
withdrawn.

it correct that you retweeted, on
the Observer Mission's Twitter account, the
original Twitter posts from the PLO Department
of Public Diplomacy and Policy to call
attention to the fact that members of the
United States Congress had expressed concerns
about annexation?

Because the United Nations is
encompassing, the United States is part of the
United Therefore, it becomes another
issue, when we talk about unilateral annexation
of Palestinian territory, the United States is
a member of the Hence, this falls within
the frame of my work.

am doing work on behalf of the
United Nations since the U.S. is a member of
the UN.

MR. SINAIKO: All right.
Let's go to Tab 41. I think this is going to
be 12. So let's mark the next document that
pops up as Exhibit 12.

(Deposition Exhibit No. 12 was

marked for identification.)

BY MR. SINAIKO:

3. · And Ms. Ghannam, can you see Exhibit
12 in front of you?

5. .. Yes.

6. .. And what do you recognize that to
be?

8. .. A tweet, it looks -- just a tweet
regarding, it's watch now, which looks like
10. it's a video.

11. · So this is a Twitter post
12. that you put on the Observer Mission's Twitter
13. feed on July 29 of 2020; correct?

14. .. Correct.

15. .. And the purpose of this Twitter was
16. to encourage the public to watch the video that
17. was attached to the tweet; is that right?

18. .. Correct.

19. .. And the video was prepared by the
20. PLO Department of Public Diplomacy and Policy;
21. correct?

22. · It was prepared by them to highlight
23. the illegal annexation and the illegal
24. occupation of Palestinian territory, which are
25. considered crimes against humanity by the

United Nations, correct.

.. So the question was, this was -- the question was, this is a video that was prepared by the PLO Department of Public Diplomacy and Policy; correct?

Correct.

MR. Let's mark -- let's put up Tab 24, which we will mark as Exhibit 13.

(Deposition Exhibit No. 13 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. SINAIKO:

Ms. Ghannam, do you have Exhibit 13 in front of you?

.. I do see it, yes.

.. And what do you recognize this document to be?

It's something written against the illegal use of administrative detention, Palestinian prisoners.

.. So let me just ask the question in a little bit more focused Do you recognize this to be a tweet that you posted to the Observer Mission's Twitter feed?

Yes.

1. · And when did you make this post to
the Observer Mission's Twitter feed?

3. .. It looks like October 6, 2020, at
1:00 p.m.

5. .. And this was also a retweet; is that
right?

7. .. Yes.

8. .. And it was a retweet of a tweet
originally posted by the PLO Department of
10. Public Diplomacy and Policy; correct?

11. .. Yes.

12. .. And the topic of this -- the
13. topic of this tweet was administrative
14. detention; is that right?

15. Illegal administrative
16. detention, correct.

17. · And the purpose of this
18. tweet was to elevate public awareness of
19. illegal -- pardon me -- administrative
20. detention; is that correct?

21. .. Yes.

22. .. That was -- Okay.

23. .. Because many people don't
24. understand what administrative detention is.

25. .. Understood.

MR. SINAIKO: So let's go to
Tab 25, which we will mark as Exhibit 14.

(Deposition Exhibit No. 14 was
marked for identification.)

BY MR. SINAIKO:

6. · Ms. Ghannam, can you see Exhibit 14
in front of you?

8. · Most of Your face and my face
block off the right side of it.

10. · Let's fix it so that you can see --
11. I want to do whatever -- can you see it better
12. now?

13. .. That works.

14. .. All righty. So can you see the
15. document now?

16. .. Yes.

17. .. And can you tell me whether that's a
18. tweet that you posted to the Observer Mission's
19. Twitter feed?

20. .. Yes.

21. .. And when did you make this posting
22. to the Observer Mission's Twitter feed?

23. .. November 28, 2020, at 2:27 p.m.

24. .. By any chance, do you know where you
25. were located physically when you made that

posting?

.. Thanksgiving, that first year, after
COVID -- I believe we were in Washington.

.. But you weren't in the Observer
Mission building?

.. No.

.. And the purpose of -- would
it be fair to say that the purpose of this
tweet was to call public attention to a letter
that Dr. Mansour wrote to a UN official?

Well, I can't see the original
letter, but either Dr. Riyadh would have written
it or Ambassador Feda would have written it, if
Dr. Riyadh was out of town.

Let's go to the attachment because
that's part of the exhibit.

.. Okay.

.. Let me just ask the question again.
Do you see that the document referenced in the
Twitter post is a letter that

.. A letter by

.. I'm sorry?

.. You would have to scroll all the way
to the bottom to see who it was written by.

Let's do that so you get

to see it.

.. Go up a little more, please. I don't know who drafted It was either Ambassador Feda or Dr. But most likely --

.. Let's go down to the bottom of the letter for just a You will see that it appears to be signed by Dr. Khalil El-Halabi. Do you see that?

10 · .. Yes.

11 · .. Do you understand this to be a
12 · letter that Dr. Khalil El-Halabi wrote to a UN
13 · official or to -- or perhaps to, you know,
14 · Ambassador Mansour?

15 · .. It could be -- it could have been
16 · that he was quoted in the I didn't
17 · write the I just posted it.

18 · . The purpose of the Twitter
19 · post was to disseminate this letter publicly to
20 · draw attention to the issue -- raise public
21 · attention to the issue raised in the letter;
22 · correct?

23 · Yes.

24 · MR. SINAIKO: Let's go to Tab
25 · 26, which we will mark as Exhibit 15.

(Deposition Exhibit No. 15 was
marked for identification.)

BY MR. SINAIKO:

· Ms. Ghannam, do you have Exhibit 15
in front of you?

.. I do.

.. Do you recognize this to be another
post that you put on the Observer Mission's
Twitter feed?

10 · .. Yes.

11 · .. And when did you put this post on
12 · the Observer Mission's Twitter feed?

13 · .. January 11, 2021 at 9:16 a.m.

14 · .. And it says, on the top of the first
15 · line, statement by PMOFA. Do you see that?

16 · .. Yes.

17 · .. What is PMOFA?

18 · .. The Palestinian Ministry of Foreign
19 · Affairs.

20 · · So this was a tweet by which the
21 · Observer Mission was disseminating a message
22 · created by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs;
23 · correct?

24 · .. This is correct.

25 · .. And the Ministry of Foreign Affairs,

that is -- or the statement by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, pardon me, is that the document attached at the bottom of the tweet?

4. .. I believe -- I believe it's actually a media brief drafted by NAD, the Negotiation Affairs Department.

7. · And it's the PLO -- that's the Palestine Liberation Organization Negotiation Affairs Department; correct?

10. .. Yes.

11. .. And is that part of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs?

13. .. No.

14. .. What is the connection between the Negotiation Affairs Department and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, if any?

17. .. I don't know the exact legal connection between the My specialty is not in that realm of work.

20. work was to just disseminate the information as it pertains to the illegality of the State of Israel's illegal occupation of Palestinians as a violation of Fourth Geneva Convention, as a violation of the UN mandate, as a violation of the UN charter, that they

July 23, 2021

have to take full responsibility of its citizens of the occupying -- the occupying power has to take full responsibility of the citizens it is occupying; hence, the reason for the information regarding the lack of COVID vaccinations to the occupied people.

7. So the purpose of this post
was to elevate public awareness of the issue
that was being raised in this paper prepared by
10. the Palestine Liberation Organization
11. Negotiation Affairs Department; is that
12. correct?

13 · Objection, asked
14 · and You may answer You may
15 · answer again.

16. THE Yes, because,
17. again, if you note, at the end, I write the
18. full statement below at UN, which means I
19. publicly retweet back to the United Nations
20. that what I am stating is a complete violation
21. of the UN mandate, of the UN charter.

22. therefore, it is the occupying
23. power's obligation to administer vaccines,
24. vaccinations to the occupyees, so they are in
25. violation of international law and it is

illegal what they are doing to the occupied people of Palestine.

BY MR. SINAIKO:

4. .. So would it be fair to say that the purpose of this tweet is to draw to public attention conduct that the Observer Mission regards as a violation of UN requirements?

8. .. Yes.

 MR. SINAIKO: Let's go
10. to Tab 27. That will be Exhibit 16.
11. (Deposition Exhibit No. 16 was
12. marked for identification.)

13. BY MR. SINAIKO:

14. · And Ms. Ghannam, can you see Exhibit
15. I think the video might be frozen again so
16. I'm not sure you can see it.

17. Not yet.

18. MR. SINAIKO: Let's give it a
19. moment and if it doesn't -- if it doesn't pop
20. up, Mitch, maybe we can show her the document
21. on your laptop.

22. MR. It's popping up.

23. THE Yes, I
24. remember this.

25. BY MR. SINAIKO:

1. · Maybe you can start by telling us,
in a high level way, whether this is yet
another tweet that you posted to the Observer
Mission's Twitter account?

5. .. Yes, I did.

6. .. And this was done on February
14, 2021; correct?

 Correct.

 · And what exactly was this
10. Twitter, tweet -- let me withdraw that.

11. exactly was this tweet
12. disseminating?

13. Why was it disseminated; is that
14. your question?

15. · I was asking what was being
16. disseminated through this tweet.

17. .. It was stories of the
18. illegality of the Israeli citizenship law which
19. forces citizens to stay away from each other
20. because of the illegal occupation.

21. .. And the purpose of this tweet
22. was to draw public attention to an Israeli law
23. that the Observer Mission --

24. .. An illegal Israeli law --

25. .. Let me finish the Am I

correct that the purpose of this tweet was to draw attention to an Israeli law that the Observer Mission regarded as inconsistent with UN mandates?

5. Yes.

MR. SINAIKO: Let's go to Tab 28, which we will mark as Exhibit 17.

(Deposition Exhibit No. 17 was marked for identification.)

10 BY MR. SINAIKO:

11 All Ms. Ghannam, are you
12 able to see Exhibit 17?

13 Not yet.

14 Would you let me know when it
15 pops up?

16 Okay.

17 This is so much easier in person, I
18 have to tell you.

19 I've got it on my
20 laptop, so let me show it to Can you see
21 it from There you It's on the big
22 screen now.

23 THE Okay.

24 BY MR. SINAIKO:

25 Do you recognize this to be another

tweet that you posted to the Observer Mission's
Twitter account?

3. .. Yes.

4. .. And it's a tweet that you posted on
May 11; correct?

6. .. Yes.

7. .. And this is a tweet -- what was the
nature of this Maybe you can tell us

10. I'll try to ask it in a more open-ended
way.

11. So a Mark Ruffalo, which many
12. of you know is a celebrity, used his platform
13. to expose the illegality of the potential
14. expulsion of 1500 Palestinians from occupied
15. Jerusalem.

16. .. And in this tweet, the Observer
17. Mission thanked Mr. Ruffalo for making his
18. post; is that correct?

19. .. Yes, I did.

20. .. Let's go to the next page of
21. this Is that Mr. Ruffalo's post that you
22. were forwarding?

23. .. I believe I was retweeting it, yes.

24. .. And you see that

25. Mr. Ruffalo's post, in turn, attached a

document?

.. Would you mind going back
for a second, because I think --

.. Not at all.

.. -- I might have just retweeted.

I'm sorry, go Uh-huh.

.. Do you see that Mr. Ruffalo's tweet
that the Observer Mission retweeted to its own
Twitter account, in turn, attached the

10 · document?

11 · .. I may not have noticed it at the

12 · I don't recall right There was a
13 · lot going on during this time period.

14 · . But you see that there
15 · is a document attached to Mr. Ruffalo's tweet;
16 · correct?

17 · .. I do see that.

18 · .. And do you understand what that
19 · document is?

20 · You'd have to open it for me to look
21 · at I don't recall.

22 · .. Let's go to the next page.

23 · .. Okay.

24 · .. Do you understand what that might
25 · be?

.. No.

4 · .. Do you want to -- do you need
maybe we should show her the rest of the pages
of it.

.. Assuming it's some sort of petition.

.. So Mr. Ruffalo's
tweet -- well, Mr. Ruffalo's tweet attached a
petition that members of the public could sign
relating to this topic; correct?

10 · .. It appears so.

11 · .. And the Observer Mission retweeted
12 · Mr. Ruffalo's tweet to bring Mr. Ruffalo's
13 · tweet to the attention of a broader audience;
14 · correct?

15 · Yes.

16 · MR. SINAIKO: Let's go
17 · to Tab 29, which will be Exhibit 18.

18 · (Deposition Exhibit No. 18 was
19 · marked for identification.)

20 · BY MR. SINAIKO:

21 · We're going to be on Tab 29.
22 · That will be Exhibit 18.

23 · Ghannam, **if** you can just let me
24 · know when you are able to see that.

25 · I'll show it to

her on my laptop.

MR. SINAIKO: I've got to say,
I can't wait until we're able to do these
things in person This is just
incredibly painful.

MR. She's got it on
my laptop for now, until it pops up on the big
screen.

BY MR. SINAIKO:

.. So Ms. Ghannam, can you see
Deposition Exhibit 18 now?

.. Yes.

.. And this is another tweet that you
posted to the Observer Mission's Twitter
account; is that correct?

Yes.

And you made that post on May 15,
2021; is that correct?

Yes.

There, now you can see it on the big
Once your video pops up, I know you
can see it.

you've got it on the screen in
front of you now?

Yes.

1. .. Was this -- I see that this one,
this tweet actually has the SaveSheikhJarrah
hashtag; do you see that?

4. .. Yes.

5. .. Would it be fair to say that part of
the purpose of that hashtag was to magnify the
attention, the public attention that this
Twitter posting would receive?

9. .. Yes.

10. .. And the purpose of this --
11. well, what was the It looks like
12. there's a document attached to this tweet, is
13. that right, or maybe this is retweeting?

14. Retweeting, from what I can recall.

15. I don't know if that's a video or just a

16. If I have to guess, it's just a
17. picture because what happened is that the
18. occupying power indiscriminately and illegally
19. bombed and destroyed the offices of the
20. Associated Press and Al Jazeera Arabic in Gaza
21. and, therefore, the occupied region of Gaza was
22. cut off from the rest of the world, so we had
23. to rely on tweets coming out from the region to
24. show the world what was happening illegally
25. there.

1. .. So would it be fair to say that the
purpose of this tweet was to call public
attention to the Observer Mission's view that
Israel had indiscriminately and illegally
bombed and destroyed the offices of the
Associated Press in Al Jazeera?

7. .. The purpose of this tweet is to
highlight the view of the State of Palestine
and the United Nations in terms of the
10. illegality of what Israel was doing in terms of
11. conducting potential war crimes against nearly
12. two million people who are subjected to an
13. illegal blockade of nearly 14 years, which is
14. deemed illegal by the United Nations, yes.

15. of this falls within my
16. framework at the UN because all of these issues
17. and everything that you have asked me thus far
18. are things that the UN discusses regularly as
19. illegal and against the UN charter and the UN
20. framework.

21. .. I notice I notice, Ms. Ghannam,
22. that the first line of this tweet references
23. @POTUS. Do you see that?

24. Yes, the President of the United
25. States.

1. · And why would this tweet make
reference to the President of the United
States?

4. Because the United States of America
is a permanent member of the UN Security
Council, and the United States, as a permanent
member of the Security Council, regularly votes
against any and all resolutions that speak
against the illegal occupation of the State of
10. Palestine.

11. while the whole world watches
12. this indiscriminate bombing of this illegal
13. occupation, the United States happens to be the
14. only member of the Security Council that cannot
15. publicly make a statement saying that this is
16. wrong.

17. · And you wanted to -- is it true,
18. Ms. Ghannam, would it be fair to say,
19. Ms. Ghannam, that one of the purposes of this
20. tweet was to raise awareness of the positions
21. that the Trump administration was taking within
22. the United States?

23. .. My purpose was to bring forth the
24. policies that the United Nations as a voting
25. member of the -- excuse me, the United States,

July 23, 2021

as a voting member of the United Nations and as a permanent member of the United Nations Security Council and their extremely important role at the United Nations, and how are they able to sit back and take a side seat to an issue distressing to many people around the world, an issue that's illegal.

8. Was part of the purpose of this tweet to raise awareness among the American public of the position that its government was taking?

2. Objection, asked

3. and You may answer again.

4 · BY MR. SINAIKO:

15. You may answer.

16. .. The objection was to raise awareness
17. that the United States of America, which is a
18. voting member of the Security Council of the
19. United Nations, and a permanent member of the
20. United Nations security member, and the fact
21. that all work conducted in the region, gets the
22. blessing of the United States, through the
23. United Nations, and, therefore, the fact that
24. the United States of America is unable to make
25. a public statement regarding something where

July 23, 2021

the majority of the world was able to demonize
in one way or another was perplexing, yes.

3. .. Just going to try one more

The objective was to raise that awareness among the American public; is that correct?

It's
not only asked and answered, but the reason why
you keep coming back is that it's

10 · So I object to the
11 · argumentative questioning of this witness.

12 · MR. SINAIKO: She can answer
13 · the question.

14 · MR. Do you have
15 · anything to add to your previous answer?

16. THE No.

17 · MR. SINAIKO: She can answer
18 · the question that I ask, not the questions that
19 · you ask, Mr. Berger.

20 · You've asked this
21 · question three It's argumentative,
22 · asked and You may answer it again.

23 · MR. SINAIKO: I would like the
24 · question read back please, Karen.

25 · (Reporter read back from the

record.)

THE No.

MR. SINAIKO: Let's mark as
our next exhibit Tab 30. I think this will be
Exhibit 19.

(Deposition Exhibit No. 19 was
marked for identification.)

BY MR. SINAIKO:

9. Ms. Ghannam, can you see Exhibit 19
10. in front of you?

11. Yes.

12. And you recognize what do
13. you recognize this to be, if anything?

14. I recognize this very It was
15. a mother and a child who were stuck under the
16. rubble of their house after it being illegally
17. shelled and begging for help so that they were
18. not to die.

19. This was a Twitter post that was put
20. on -- that you put on the Observer Mission's
21. Twitter feed; correct?

22. Absolutely correct.

23. And the topic was the one that you
24. just mentioned; correct?

25. Yes.

1. · And the purpose of this Twitter feed
was to raise public awareness about the conduct
that is described in this Twitter post and the
Observer Mission's position with respect to
that conduct; correct?

6. · Yes.

 MR. SINAIKO: Let's go
to Tab 31, which we will mark as Exhibit 20.

 (Deposition Exhibit No. 20 was
10. · marked for identification.)

11. · BY MR. SINAIKO:

12. · Do you see Deposition Exhibit 20 on
13. · the screen?

14. · .. Yes, now I do.

15. · And do you recognize that to be
16. · another tweet that you posted to the Observer
17. · Mission's Twitter account on May 17, 2021?

18. · .. Yes.

19. · And do you see that this
20. · Twitter post makes reference to AOC?

21. · .. Yes.

22. · .. Who is that?

23. · .. Alexandria Ortezt -- I always forget
24. · her The representative from New York.

25. · .. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez; is

that right?

.. Yes.

.. And what about Bush?

.. Cori Bush.

.. And what about

.. John Oliver.

.. Uh-huh, who else?

8. .. Ali Velshi, and then Reid, I forget
his first name, yes.

10. . And what information was this
11. Twitter post conveying?

12. That the use of the word "apartheid"
13. is not so illegal, it's not deemed as taboo
14. anymore.

15. .. And the purpose of -- the
16. purpose of this, is it correct that the purpose
17. of this tweet was to disseminate publicly the
18. Observer Mission's view that the State of
19. Israel engages in apartheid?

20. The purpose of this tweet is to
21. share information that is left up to the
22. general public to decipher in any way possible
23. that they see fit in terms of the illegalities
24. of what is happening to the people of Palestine
25. that is repeatedly discussed and repeated at

the United Nations.

is not up to me to decide what
people take from what I post.

MR. SINAIKO: Let's put
up Tab 32 and let's mark that as our next
exhibit, which will be Exhibit 21.

(Deposition Exhibit No. 21 was
marked for identification.)

BY MR. SINAIKO:

10. .. Ms. Ghannam, can you see Exhibit 21?

11. .. Not yet.

12. Every time I show
13. her my laptop, it pops on the video.

14. MR. SINAIKO: It's like
15. clockwork.

16. (Off-the-record discussion
17. held.)

18. BY MR. SINAIKO:

19. Can you see the document now,
20. Exhibit 21?

21. .. Yes.

22. .. And that's another posting that you
23. made to the Observer Mission's Twitter account?

24. .. Yes.

25. .. And that was a retweet?

.. Yes.

4 · .. It was a retweet of a tweet that
originally was put up by Senator Warren;
correct?

.. Yes.

.. And do you think you retweeted
Senator Warren's tweet the same day that
Senator Warren put it up on her own feed?

.. I can't tell you.

10 · .. In any event, the purpose -- is it
11 · correct that the purpose of this tweet was to
12 · bring to the attention of the public Senator
13 · Warren's view that the Eiden administration
14 · should press for a just, lasting two-state
15 · agreement?

16 · .. The purpose of this tweet is to
17 · press on the viewpoint that a lasting two-state
18 · agreement is needed to see a just and viable
19 · peace in the region, something that the United
20 · Nations had been working towards for decades
21 · now and is the longest standing occupation and
22 · file at the United Nations.

23 · MR. SINAIKO: Let's go
24 · to Tab 33, which we will mark as Exhibit 22.

25 · (Deposition Exhibit No. 22 was

marked for identification.)

MR. SINAIKO: And Mitch, if you could just put the laptop in front of her now so that this works more promptly this time.

MR. I don't have it See, it worked instantly.

MR. SINAIKO: Like magic.

BY MR. SINAIKO:

9. .. Anyway, Ms. Ghannam, do you see
10. Exhibit 22 in front of you?

11. .. I do.

12. .. And do you recognize that to be a
13. tweet that you posted to the Observer Mission's
14. Twitter feed on May 24th of this year?

15. .. Yes.

16. .. And the purpose of this

17. .. Yes, I recognize it.

18. .. Is it correct that the purpose of
19. this Twitter feed was to bring to public
20. attention criticism of the Eiden administration
21. in an article that Newsweek had published?

22. The purpose of this retweet was to
23. bring attention to the fact that the United
24. States, a permanent country of the Security
25. Council who has the right to veto anything they

want in the Security Council, and a member of the larger UN General Assembly, has gone ahead and provided the State of Israel with smaller bombs to continue doing the work that they have done illegally.

MR. SINAIKO: And let's mark, as Exhibit 23, a Twitter post dated July 9 of 2021. That will be Tab 34, Cosette.

(Deposition Exhibit No. 23 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. SINAIKO:

And Ms. Ghannam, do you recognize -- can you see Deposition Exhibit 23 in front of you now?

.. Yes.

And do you recognize that to be a posting that you made to the Observer Mission's Twitter feed earlier this month?

.. Yes, I retweeted it.

And this is a retweet from the PLO's Negotiation Affairs Division; is that right?

.. Department, yes.

I got the title -- I
It's the Negotiation Affairs

Department?

.. That's Yes.

.. And this is another --

another tweet, the purpose of which is to bring
to public attention the Observer Mission's
views about annexation of territory; is that
7. correct?

8. Particularly the annexation wall,
yes.

10. .. Okay.

11. .. And the illegal settlement units
12. that have been established in occupied illegal
13. territories, And the number of civilian
14. deaths as well.

15. .. Anything else?

16. .. The tweet is pretty
17. self-explanatory.

18. MR. SINAIKO: Excellent. We
19. can take that one If it's okay -- if
20. it's okay with everybody, I like to just go off
21. the record for five minutes.

22. MR. Yeah.

23. MR. SINAIKO: Good enough.

24. Thanks.

25. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: are now

THESE PAGES HAVE BEEN
INTENTIONALLY OMITTED